A Method of Emergency Management based on Knowledge Element Theory

Kaiji Liao

School of Business Administration, South China University of Technology Guangzhou, China kjliao@scut.edu.cn

Huihui Xiong, Donghai Ye, Chunyang Zhang School of Business Administration, South China University of Technology Guangzhou, China xhh352@126.com

Abstract—According to the current situation in emergency management of the low utilization and slow search for emergency document knowledge result to the efficiency in making decisions. This paper aims to solve the problem of difficult in searching needed knowledge in emergency management. From the perspective of knowledge system engineering, this paper conducts an in-depth study on knowledge-mined model of emergency documents and offers an alternative for decision-makers to locate and use emergency document knowledge more quickly and efficiently by emergency document knowledge modeling based on knowledge element. This modeling process includes the analysis of physical and logical structure, the navigation link based on knowledge element and the knowledge reasoning and retrieval. The physical analysis aims to extract the metadata and structure the documents; the logical analysis intends to classify the knowledge element into three types, namely the event-based knowledge element, the subject-based knowledge element, and the task-based knowledge element; and the navigation link targets at establishing the link between the knowledge and the structured document. At last, we propose a prototype of emergency knowledge decision supporting system for verifying the validity of its application, of which the results indicate that the modeling approach is both practical and effective.

Index Terms—Emergency management; emergency decision making; structured document; knowledge element

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the increasingly unexpected incidents such as the Wenchuan Earthquak in Sichuan in 2008 and the outbreak of H1N1 flu in 2009 set forth a new challenge to the emergency management. To deal with the emergency case, only fast and accurate access to the right knowledge can our government takes further measures. Consequently, numerous emergency documents have been developed from different perspective. Informative these documents are, they are poorly-structured. For what the decision-makers really need is the knowledge in the document, not the document itself. The fact that the needed knowledge may be imbedded in different parts of the same document or in different documents slows down the searching process and thus leads to the poor use of this knowledge, which in turn impedes the rapid and effective decision making.

Different departments develop a large number of emergency documents, these documents contains a great amount of information, but not well structured. However, what the decision-makers really need is the knowledge in the documentation, not the document itself, they come from different documents or different parts of the same document. The previous problems led to the not efficiency of finding and using knowledge, this caused difficulties in the emergency decision-making. Current studies on emergency management information system mainly focus on the acceleration of the information communication and transmission of decision-making instructions.

The emergency management of unexpected public events has become the focus of study. Mei-Po Kwan^[1] proposed the Intelligent Crisis Response System (GIERS) based on three-dimensional GIS to realize rapid response from multi-level structure to terrorist attacks. Paper^[2] by changing the traditional level-by-level reporting system, established a web-based emergency tracking and a decision-making system. Paper^[3] established an emergency system of public security field based on GIS. Yang Yang^[4], set forth a genetic algorithm using fuzzy decision tree to select parameters. While these emergency decision making systems, to a certain extent, offer the knowledge needed for emergency decision making, they were made within the framework of traditional decision-making support system, with the knowledge in the knowledge base having little or nothing to do with the specific emergency case, and thus do not realize knowledge management in real sense.

This paper, from the perspective of knowledge system engineering, conducts an in-depth study on the finegrained knowledge-mining model for emergency documents. It offers an alternative for decision-makers to locate and use emergency document knowledge more quickly and efficiently by integrating all knowledge from various emergency documents into knowledge pool which can dynamically generate the knowledge needed for decision making on a specific emergency case.

II. FRAMEWORK OF EMERGENCY DOCUMENT STRUCTURE MODELING BASED KNOWLEDGE ELEMENT

With the development of knowledge economy, what people want to access is not the document itself, it is the inside knowledge that people really want to get. By promoting of the development of need, the control unit of knowledge will be deepen into the document data, once realize this change, once achieved this transformation, the "knowledge element" contained in document will link with the relate information. This will have great knowledge of the value-added, and thus promote the efficiency of using of knowledge.

Definition 1 knowledge element: we take each document as relatively independent knowledge elements which are arranged in certain logical relationship. Each element is the smallest structure to express the content of knowledge, known as the "knowledge element".

Definition 2 feature vectors: feature vectors, also called search terms, represent the nature of the emergency document knowledge retrieved. For example, the key words retrieval of emergency knowledge forms a vector D $(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$, of which 'n' represents the number of items retrieved.

Definition 3 weight (W_k) of the feature vector: feature vector weight refers to the amount of emergency knowledge that can be represented by the term t_n . It reflects the importance of feature items in emergency knowledge information. The feature vectors of emergency document D can be expressed as $D_i = (W_{i1}, W_{i2}, ..., W_{in})$ of which $w_1, w_2, ..., w_n$

as $D_i = (W_{i1}, W_{i2}, ..., W_{in})$, of which $w_1, w_2, ..., w_n$ represent the weight of document information D ($t_1, t_2, ..., t_n$).

The general idea of emergency document structure modeling is as follows: take each document as "knowledge elements" which can be extracted for storage and outputted and formed, based on user's needs, new knowledge units when responding to inquiries. Specifically, this process can be divided into the following four steps such as shown in Figure 1.

Step 1: Analyze the physical structure of a document and then separate the knowledge elements out of the document. Extract the features items from the document based on Salton's vector space model, and form the feature vectors, namely to express document D as $D(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$, of which t_i is the feature items, $1 \le i \le n$. M documents in the collections document constitute M * N feature matrix. Each document is physically broken down into metadata or structured documents to be stored.

Step 2: Analyze the logical structure of the document and identify the corresponding relationship between the needed knowledge and the paragraph subject (paraSubject). In this step, the knowledge of emergency document is divided into three categories, namely the event-based, task-based, subject-based. In accordance with these three different types, extract the knowledge elements from subject paragraphs to build the knowledge element index vector E $(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$. Organize data with two-dimensional relational table, and then store them, forming a knowledge base.

Step 3, build navigation to guide knowledge element so as to establish links among the knowledge elements, and links between the knowledge elements and the documents.

Finally, user's query is also represented as a group of space vector Q (q_1 , q_2 ,, q_n), by calculating the similarity between user's query request and documentation Sim(D_i, Q_j), knowledge element Sim (E_i, Q_j) to deliver the document and knowledge retrieval and reasoning ^{[5][6]} [7].



Figure 1. The Framework of Emergency Document Structure Modeling Based on Knowledge Element

III. ANALYSIS OF THE PHYSICAL STRUCTURE OF THE DOCUMENT

The document structure includes the physical structure and the logical structure. The physical structure is the attribute that data actually stored in computer. Through the analysis of the physical structure of the emergency documents, we get some independently structured information such as institutions, release date, document title, the nature of document, etc, which can physically separate the document itself from described information. We put these structured information as a document metadata and store them in a relational database while the documents storing in the file system. The documents and the meta-data can be associated by address properties.

A. Metadata Extraction

Metadata is a structured data about information or data which provides a structured description of information resources. Meta-data field represents the nature of document retrieval and it can be regarded as a feature item t of the document space vector model. An emergency document information are made up of by independent feature items $t_1, t_2, ..., t_n$, with 'n' being the number of feature items. M copies of documents constitute a collection D=d{d₁,d₂,...., d_m}, which be expressed as an $m \times n$ document information matrix A by n-characteristic items t1, t2, ..., t_n. The feature vector matrix of A is

$$\mathbf{A}' = (\mathbf{W}_{ij})\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{n} = \begin{bmatrix} W_{11} & W_{12} & \dots & W_{1n} \\ W_{21} & W_{22} & \dots & W_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ W_{m1} & W_{m2} & \dots & W_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

of which row vector for the document information

*W*_{*i j*} is vector, column vector for the search term vector. the weight of the document i in retrieving item j. Since the capacity of the emergency document D represented by

each feature item t_i is different, the weight is different too

Establish document meta-data table {File ID, F Title , F_Auth, F_Pdata, F_Attrib, F_Class, F_Grade, F Dsc, F URL} to store document information matrix. The following is a description of the feature items:

File ID represents the document number which is the main attribute of the metadata table; F_Title, F_Auth, F Pdate: represent the document title, document author and release date respectively. F Attrib represents the nature of the document, its value = ('contingency plans' 'laws and regulations,' | 'principles guide' | 'Emergency Cases' | 'others'). F_Class refers to event classification which stores event type number and corresponds with the event type table. F_Grade refers to the event class which can be divided into national, provincial and municipal level. F Dsc is a description of document content which will give the users the main ideas about the content of the document. F URL specifies the address of the document stored on the server.

For example, the two documents "General Plan for Rapid Response to Public Emergencies of the Country" and "Destructive Earthquake Emergency Regulations", the metadata will be stored as shown in Table I.

TABLE I. FEXAMPLES OF EMERGENCY DOCUMENT META-DATA STORAGE

File_ID	F_Title	F_Auth	F_Pdate	F_Attrib	F_Type	F_Grade	F_URL
0000	National emergency event	State council	2006-1-8	emergency plan	0	National level	127.0.01/file/0000.doc
2222	Destructive earthquake	State council	1995-2-11	Laws and regulations	Null	National level	127.0.0.1/file/2222.doc
•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		••••

B. Document Structuring

Obviously the knowledge needed by emergency decision-makers centers around certain subject. Since this knowledge may come from a part of an article rather than the entire document, we use the structural information inherent in the document to improve the matching accuracy and make available to decision-makers the solutions more quickly and conveniently. The structured documents stored in the database can match the targeted queries request, and return a document of interest to the backbone, or the content under the sub-title. Most of the emergency plans already have certain structural characteristics themselves and thus it is not difficult to identify their physical structure and logical structures.

In order to better store and representing such documents as emergency plans, we design the structured document table Str Text to explain the naming, the meaning of the document as well as the idea of tiered storage. In the Table II, Node ID is the node number representing the node in the article (the title or paragraph). The number consists of 12 digit numbers, of which the first 4 number is the document number and every two of the remaining eight numbers express one level, making a total of four levels of content altogether. For example, the number 01 01 01 00 can be used to represent the first three levels of the document contents. N_Level is the node depth, for example, the value of the subtitle in this field is 2. N_FathID is the node number on the upper layer. N_Attr is the document properties. 't' indicates that the node is the title, 'p' indicates that the nodes in the body of the paragraph. File_ID is the document number, which links structured documents together with document meta-

data. It makes the main attributes together with Node_ID. N_Content is a node representing the title or paragraph of the document.

The following are examples concerning the storage of structured document. The contents in the document box are from the "General Plan for Rapid Response to Public Emergencies of the Country". The document can be divided into titles of different levels. We take the first level title "General" as 1, the rest parts will add 1 in N level value as long as they are subordinate to it in content; the value of N_Level remains unchanged if they are in coordinative relationship. Table II is an attempt to offer a solution to store document in the structured method.

TABLE II. EXAMPLE OF THE STORAGE OF STRUCTURED EMERGENCY DOCUMENTS

Nod e_I D	N_L evel	N_Fat hID	N_A ttr	File _ID	N_Content
0100 0000	1	0001	t	0000	General Rules
0101 0000	2	010000 00	t	0000	Purpose
0101 0100	3	010100 00	р	0000	Enhance the government'a ability to ensure public safety and deal with the public emergencies
0102 0000	2	010000 00	t	0000	basis

IV. DOCUMENT LOGICAL STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

A. Features of Paragraph Subject

After careful study of various types of national, provincial emergency response plans, we found that emergency plans manifest certain features in both form and subjects. As far as the form is concerned, a complete emergency plan typically includes the following six components: general principles, organizational system, procedures, safeguard measures, supplementary provisions and appendices. Paragraphs, on the other hand, being the smallest independent unit embodying the form of the document, fall into the following three categories, corresponding to the document form.

The first category defines and explains the concepts. The word 'what' is used to represent the interrogative what, 'VL' represents "is", 'NI' represents noun phrases such as terminology, concepts, names, etc. Thus the feature of the ParaSubject can be expressed as: ParaSubject = NI + VL + What.

The second category describes the process of settling down an incident, with subject matter being who. Thus the word 'who' is used to represent the interrogative who, 'VL' represents the verb "is" while 'Sub' represents the parties involved in emergency response. Thus we will have: ParaSubject = Sub + VL + Who.

The third category describes the task of different parties in the process of commanding and coordinating the efforts in response to emergency cases. With the word 'Sub' meaning "subject", 'When' the interrogative when, 'V' the action "how to deal with", 'NEM' the unexpected event to be dealt with, the feature of the ParaSubject can be denoted as: ParaSubject = Sub + When + V + NEM.

The relationship between the features of three types of ParaSubject and the various parts of an emergency plan is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. The relationship between the ParaSubject features and form of a document

B. Classification of Knowledge Element

Knowledge element is the smallest unit to express knowledge. A paragraph is the structure to express certain subject. Since any kind of knowledge is embedded in paragraph, there exists a link between paragraph and knowledge element. If the subject of the paragraph is different, the knowledge contained in it is also different too. Sometimes certain types of knowledge may come from a number of discrete paragraphs, and each paragraph contains one or more knowledge element.

(1) event-based knowledge element corresponds to the first category of ParaSubject, i.e.the paraSubject that plays the role of interpreting and describing the noun phrase, aiming to resolve the question of "About What".

(2) subject-based knowledge element corresponds to the second category of Parsubject, namely the paraSubject that describes the parties involved, showing which department is responsible for the what activities. It addresses such problems as "About Who".

(3) task-based knowledge element corresponds to the third category of ParaSubject, namely the ParaSubject being abstracted into terms like "emergency dealing entity / when / how to deal with / unexpected problems". It is the key part of emergency plans, addressing such problems as "About Who", " About When ", " About How " problem.

C. Extraction of Knowledge Element

As we know, knowledge element is the smallest unit to express knowledge, and paragraph is a structure to express certain themes. Any kind of knowledge actually relies on the logic paragraph subject. Therefore there exists a corresponding relation between paragraphs and knowledge element. A different subject of the paragraph includes different knowledge types. Some types of knowledge may come from several discontinuous paragraphs, at the same time, each paragraph corresponds to one or more knowledge element. Because of the correspondence, we can extract the subject of the corresponding knowledge element according to the theme features of paragraph.

(1) event-based knowledge element

The first paragraph subject is characterized in the interpretation and description of noun phrases, resolved "About What" problem, the event type knowledge element corresponding to it, as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. The correspondence between event-based knowledge element and paragraph subject

(2) subject-based knowledge element

The second paragraph subject describes the various types of subject that emergency events related, tell us which department is responsible for related work, solved the "About Who" problem corresponding with the subjectbased knowledge element, as shown in Figure 4.



Figure4. The correspondence between event-based knowledge element and paragraph subject

(3) task-based knowledge element

The third paragraph subject can be abstracted as the form as "emergency subject / when / how to dispose / unexpected problems", which is a key part of contingency plans. It addressed the "AboutWho", "AboutWhen", "About How" issues, and correspondence to task-based knowledge element. Because a task has executor, the execution time, manner, mission objectives and other factors, and these factors can be obtained from the third paragraph subject, as shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. The correspondence between event-based knowledge element and paragraph subject

According to the common features of three types of knowledge, we try to build a multi-group KE {name, attrib, guide} to express knowledge element, i.e. knowledge element = {number, name, attributes, navigation}. Among them, name is the subject of knowledge studied; attribute is the characteristics that knowledge element owned, with different types of knowledge element having different attributes structure; navigation shows the connection among the knowledge elements and between the knowledge element and the emergency plan document.

Here is the solution to the problem of presenting the name of a knowledge element in computer. Since the knowledge elements are stored with database technology, in order to, we need to add such distinguishing field as 'knowledge element Number ' to make the data more in line with the organizational form of documents ; other fields should be added as needed. Here the entity table-KE (K_ID, K_Name, K_Type, K_Dsc) is employed to represent knowledge elements, of which K ID is the knowledge element Number and its major properties; K Name is the name of knowledge element, brief introducing the subject of study of the knowledge element; K_Type is the category of the knowledge element including the "event-based", "subject-based" or "taskbased."; K_Des is a further description of knowledge element. We take the "Proposals in Response to National Environmental Emergency" for example, to show the extraction of the knowledge element, as shown in Table Ш

TABLE III. EXAMPLE OF THE KNOWLEDGE ELEMENT TABLE

K_ID	K_Name	K_Type	K_Dec
K004	Classification and grade of the event	Event- based	Description of environmental emergency
K005	Coordinating institutions	Subject- based	Identification of coordinating bodies and their responsibilities
•••••		•••••	•••••

V. KNOWLEDGE ELEMENT NAVIGATION LINKS

Similar to the knowledge element representation, the navigation of knowledge element can also be described in two-dimensional relation table. We build the knowledge navigation table KNav {K_ID, NavID, NavLink, NavDes}, of which K ID is the foreign key of the relationship, associating with the knowledge element tables; NavID is the navigation link number, used as the main attributes of the relationship; NavLink corresponds to the node number of the structured document table Node ID, aiming to establish the links of the knowledge element and structured document, and to establish the links to document meta-data, which forms emergency knowledge chain. For example, we extract the definition of environmental emergencies from the "Proposals in Response to National Environmental Emergency" and put it into the knowledge element table as shown in Table IV.

TABLE IV. EXAMPLE OF THE KNOWLEDGE ELEMENT NAVIGATION LINK TABLE

K_ID	NavID	NavLink	NavDes
K76	N_76	120007010200	Definition the events.

VI. RETRIEVAL BASED ON VECTOR SPACE MODEL

Vector Space Model (VSM) is a statistical model on document expression which is proposed by Salton and el. The model takes feature items as the coordinates of the document expression. The document is expressed as a point of multidimensional space in the form of a document vector, with the feature item weighed in some way. Thus a text can be represented by a feature vector in space ^[8]. For the case of emergency document, for the emergency document collections $D = \{d_1, d_2, ..., d_m\}$, or knowledge element set $E = \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_m\}$, can be expressed as a $m \times n$ c feature vector matrix by 'n' feature items. 'n' defines a n dimensional document information space, each document has its own feature

information space, each document has its own feature vector; and the same time, the query of policy-makers in this vector space can also be expressed as a vector, so the similarity of query vector can be transformed into the calculation of the angle between two vectors. The smaller of the angle, the higher similarity is, and more front in the ordering.

Detailed algorithm is as follows:

Function: generate the result based on the user's input request.

Input: user query $Q_{j}(q_{1}, q_{2}, ..., q_{n})$, of which the key words q_{i} correspond to retrieve items t_{i} .

Output: sorted collection of documents or knowledge $D(d_1, d_2, ..., d_k)$.

Process:

(1) based on the weight of retrieve item (suppose the weight of $t_1, t_2, ..., t_n$ are $w_1, w_2, ..., w_n$, and $w_1 + w_2 + ... + w_n = 1$ respectively), transform the user query into query vector;

(2) the calculation of feature vector of the documents and knowledge element: If d_i was hit by the keywords q_k in the search options, then the feature vector of d_i values W_k on ${}^{W_{ik}}$:

(3) repeat step (2) until all retrieval items have been done. Get the vector representation of the emergency document or knowledge element: $D_i = (W_{i1}, W_{i2}, ..., W_{in})$.

(4) use the following formula to calculate the angle cosine value of the user query vector Q_j and the similarity of document vector D_i (or knowledge element vector);

$$Sim(D_{i}, Q_{j}) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} W_{ik} \times W_{jk}}{\sqrt{(\sum_{k=1}^{n} W_{ik}^{2}) \times (\sum_{k=1}^{n} W_{jk}^{2})}}$$

(5) order the search results on the basis of similarity degree, and make judgment on a given threshold value: output those meeting the requirements, screening out the rest;

(6) the end.

VII. PROTOTYPE

Based on the Visual Studio.net 2005 technologies and concepts of emergency document structuring modeling process, the Enterprise Information and Knowledge Management Lab of South China University of Technology has developed a prototype on support system for decision-making knowledge in response to emergency management, with document retrieval and knowledge element retrieval as its major purposes. The following two pictures are the search interfaces of proposals in response to emergencies as well as knowledge elements.

In the knowledge element interface shown in Figure 5, select "event-type knowledge" in the drop-down menu, enter "earthquake" in the event name in textbox, and then you can have all search results. The results are drawn from other emergency proposals based on the event type and other conditions of the user and are ready for use. The knowledge names with a hyperlink are shown in the interfaces, and the details of the knowledge element can be acquired by clicking on these names (kedetail.asp).

The test-run of the Prototype system proves that the system can quickly provide the necessary knowledge for decision makers in front of emergency cases. It can, to a certain extent, meet their needs for knowledge while helping them to save valuable time. It works well in the running speed and performance and has solved the problem of explicit knowledge retrieval and application.

ew)e	http://127.0.0.1/docquery.asp?act	on=que							2
			突	发事件	知识支	ī持系	统-文档检索		
ONT	1944 2884 405584	. •2	春街 (2 群春	-					
					前. 教選:	對教養应領	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
						童调条件			
			文档标题			● ● 件类	2		
			2012	白泉預業		2040	1 BR: 1		
				laston	1.11	TT	-lette		
					95.4				
编号	文档标题	作者	发布日期	又档性质	文档等级	文档类型	文档描述	存載指径	起胸化文
0000	国家完全公共事件总结应急预算	因并被	2006.1.18	臣急拐来	ESU.	ō	合体应急损害,编制其他损害的基础	78, 🖸	·#4 🖪
2000	国家自然完善和助臣自诩集	因并限	2006 1 10	Raff#	220	z	用于我国的水草、气象、线质等灾害	78. 🖸	·#4·
2100	國家和承諾單位會務集	图4院	2006.1.11	Rank	EFC	201	nll	TE D	·##•
2221	国家地理应急日来	图4院	2006.1.12	Rank	BEG	20201	用于教服社養地震灾害事件	TE 📘	·#4· 🗄
2222	被坏性地震空音条例	图4院	1995.2.11	法律法规	BEL	nall	在中国共事被纤维地震应急运动,必须遵守本条件	TE 🖬	-24- 9
2223	中华人民共和国政委成交法	国务院	1997.12.29	法律法机	men	null	2008年12月27日後订	TR 📘	·## · ·
4000	国家完全公共卫士等什些自我来	24夜	2008.2.28	209X	men	4	指导全国完大公共卫生事件的处置	TR 📘	-28. 3
2200	国家天主地员大赛在自进来	24R	2006.1.10	臣自想来	BFI	202	mil	TR .	ALL P
2250	*********	writt	2006.1.10	Cell¥	are of	ene .		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	differ.

Figure6. Emergency Proposal Retrieval

文件(E) 编辑(E) 查	看(Y) 收藏(A)	IRO :	帮助(日)				
🕝 启退 🔹 💮 - [🖹 🖻 🐔	,○ 搜索	👷 收藏夹	· 🚱 🖉)• 😓 🔳	- 🛄 🕯	🖞 🔝 🎿
書柱(D) 🕘 http://127.0	.0.1/kequery.asp?	action=que					¥
	突发手	详细	识支持	素统	·知识元	检索	
四首页 综合检索 文	and the second s		and the second	ALC: NO SERVICE	and the second	17.00	
			输入查	间条件			
	知识元名称 [灾友事件名]	虎] 知识元美雪]	【事件型知识 ~_~	×	
			提交	重责			
事件知识: <u>地震灾害事件</u> 分极 国际沟通与协作	地震应急响应分	级和启动争	V件 应急增	東 次生実	書 生命线设施	1 直接经8	界损失
主体知识: 检索到0个知识							
任务知识: 检索到0个知识							
	al.asp?K_1D=K005	NF Turn-W	106 M K	_			internet

Figure7. Knowledge element retrieval

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

Currently the emergency management of unexpected public events has become the focus of study. This paper, on the basis of the knowledge element theories and detailed study on the features of various emergency documents, sets forth an emergency document structuring model to deal with the emergencies.

Our method from the knowledge engineering point of view, combined with knowledge of meta-theoretical modeling of knowledge among emergency documents and make in-depth discussions on the fine-grained mining emergency document model of knowledge. Integrate all the emergency documentation of knowledge into knowledge base, and can dynamically generate the necessary knowledge, and provides a new way for decision-makers quickly and effectively use knowledge of emergency document. This modeling process includes the analysis of physical and logical structure, the navigation link based on knowledge element and the knowledge reasoning and retrieval. The physical analysis aims to extract the metadata and structure the documents; the logical analysis intends to classify the knowledge element into three types, namely the event-based knowledge element, the subject-based knowledge element, and the task-based knowledge element; and the navigation link targets at establishing the link between the knowledge and the structured document.

The model can be described as a four-step process. First, extract the meta-data and structure the document by conducting the physical structure analysis; second, divide the meta-data into the event-based knowledge, subjectbased knowledge element and task-based knowledge element and work out a way to extract knowledge element; third, establish the links between knowledge and structured document by knowledge element navigations, and forth, have knowledge reasoned and retrieved. The paper also explores the fine-grained knowledge mining process of emergency documents.

At last, we developed a prototype on support system for decision-making knowledge in response to emergency management. The results of the laboratory tests show that our method of modeling is both practical and effective. After structuring the emergency documents, we can generate the corresponding knowledge elements or documents according to key words retrieved by the decision makes. These knowledge elements or documents will be more useful to decision makers if they can be integrated into a complete proposal, which deserve further study in the future.

Our future work will be integrate the knowledge element and the document into a comprehensive contingency plans, it will be more useful to emergency response decision makers. So for, it also needs to be done further study on how to integrate the retrieved knowledge element and documents into a complete contingency plan.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by IKMC laboratory in South China University of Technology, and project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 70871043, 70801028).

REFERENCES

- [1] Decision Support System for Managing Oil Spill Events[J]. Environmental Management 32(2):290-298.
- [2] MA Jia-qi, ZHU Zhong-liang, etc. A public health emergency management system based on B/S scheme. Chinese Journal of Public Health Management,2003,19(6):495-501.
- [3] XU Zhi-sheng, The research of the GIS-based Decision Support System for Urban Public Safety Emergency. Journal of Safety and Environment,2004,12:82-85.
- [4] YANG Yang, ZHAO Zheng. The apply of the Fuzzy decision tree in public crisis response system.Computer Applications,2006,(10).
- [5] ZHOU Ming-jian. Knowledge push in the Knowledge Management System. Journal of Computer-Aided Design & Computer Graphics,2006,(8).
- [6] MukherjeaS. Information retrieval and knowledge discover utilizing a biomedical patent semantic web.IEEE

Transactions on Knowledge & Data Engineering,2005,17(8):1099-1110.

- [7] MacLeod I. Storage and Retrieval of Structured Documents. Information Processing and Management ,Vol26(2), 1995: 197-208.
- [8] XIE Cui-xiang, ZHANG Zhong-lin, CHEN Zhi. The design of a bibliographic Query System which based on the vector space model. Journal of the China Society for Scientific Theory and Praction,2007(2):241-243.
- [9] WEN You-kui, WEN Hao, XU Duan-yi, PAN Long-fa. The text indexing based on the knowledge element. Journal of the China Society for Scientific and Technical Information, 2006,25(3):282-288.
- [10] Government of Japan. Disaster Countermeasures in Japan, a publication of the Cabinet Office[R].2005.
- [11] Virginia AJones, Kris E Keyes. How to Develop an Emergency Management Plan Information Management Journal.2008, Vol.42:52-56.
- [12] Causen J, Hansen J, Larsen j. Disruption management[J]. OR/MS Today, 2001,28(5):40-43.
- [13] Thomas D J, Griffin P M. Coordinated supply chain management [J]. European Journal of Operational Reasearch,1996,94:1-15.
- [14] Mileti D S. Natural Hazards and Distars- Disasters by Design: A Reassement of Natural Hazzards in the United States[M], Washington: Joseph Henry Press, 1999.
- [15] Tang H Y, zhao c L, Cheng C D. Single machine stochastic JIT scheduling problem subject to machine breakdows[J]. Science in China, Series A, 2008, 51(2):273-292.
- [16] Kuwata and Takada, 2004 Yasuko Kuwata and Shiro Takada, Effective emergency transportation for saving human lives, Natural Hazards 33 (2004), pp. 23–46.
- [17] Mathieu and Vigitrust, 2006 Gorge Mathieu, Crisis management best practice – where do we start from?, Computer Fraud & Security 2006 (6) (2006), pp. 10–13.
- [18] E. Wong, Y.T. Fong and K.K. Ho, Emergency airway management—experience of a tertiary hospital in South-East Asia, Resuscitation 61 (2004), pp. 349–355.
- [19] Rasmussen, B. and Gronberg, C.D., 1997. Accidents and risk control. J. Loss Prev. Proc. Ind. 10, pp. 325–332.
- [20] Rasmussen, J., 1997. Risk management in a dynamic society: a modeling problem. Safety Science 27, pp. 183– 213.
- [21] Khan, F.I. and Abbasi, S.A., 1999. TORAP a new tool for conducting rapid risk assessment in petroleum refineries and petrochemical industries. J. Loss Prev. Proc. Ind. 12, pp. 299–313.
- [22] G Thornicroft, Cannabis and psychosis: Is there epidemiological evidence for an association?. Br J Psychiatry 157 (1990), pp. 25–33.
- [23] Belardo, S. and Wallace, W.A., 1987. Expert system technology to support emergency response: its prospects and limitations. In: Gow, H.B.F. and Kay, R.W., Editors, 1987. Emergency Planning for Industrial Hazards, Elsevier.
- [24] ZHANG Huan, LU Qi-bin, Triage in Emergency Management:Lessons learned from Wenchuan Earthquake. Journal of Beijing Normal University(Social Sciences), 2010,4:103-109
- [25] GL Engel and J Romano, Delirium: a syndrome of cerebral insufficiency. J Chronic Disease 9 (1959), pp. 260–277.
- [26] P.P. Silvester and R.L. Ferrari, Finite Elements for Electrical Engineers (third ed.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1996).
- [27] J.P.A. Bastos and N. Sadowski, Electromagnetic Modeling by Finite Element Methods, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York (2003).
- [28] J.H. Garret, A. Jain, A knowledge-based system for designing transformers and inductors, Proceedings of the Fourth Conference on Artificial Intelligence Applications, 1988, pp. 96–101.

- [29] AREVA T&D Technology Centre, SLIM Electromagnetic Engineering User Manual, Ver. 3.7.1, England, 2005
- [30] MacLeod I. Storage and Retrieval of Structured Documents[J]. InformationProcessing and Management, Vol26(2), 1995: p197-208.
- [31] WEN Youkui, XU Guohua. Knowledge Element Linking Theory, Journal of The China Society For Scientific and Technical Information, 2003, (12)
- [32] T. Nasukawa, T. Nagano. Text Analysis and Knowledge Mining System .IBM SYSTEMS JOURNAL, 2001, vol.40 :967~984.
- [33] Wang Jian, Zhou Zhiying, Xiao Huiyong, The Design and Implementation of Structured Text Retrieval System, Computer Engineering and Applications, 2003
- [34] LIAO Kaiji, LI Zhe, Research on Knowledge Support System Based on Public Emergencies Management,2009.
- [35] MukherjeaS. Information retrieval and knowledge discover utilizing a biomedical patent semantic web[J]. IEEE Tansactionas on Knowledge & Data Engineering,2005,17(8):1099-1110.
- [36] Banko M,Cafarella M J. Open information extraction from the Web[C].Proceedings of the 20th International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence,2007
- [37] HH Rea, JE Garrett, KR Chapman, JG White and AS Rebuck, Emergency room care of asthmatics: A comparison between Auckland and Toronto. Ann Allergy 66 (1991), pp. 48–52.
- [38] RN Nowak, KR Gordon and DA Wroblewski, Spirometric evaluation of acute bronchial asthma. JACEP 8 (1979), pp. 9–12
- [39] AH Idris, MF McDermott, JC Raucci et al., Emergency department treatment of severe asthma: Metered-dose inhaler plus holding chamber is equivalent in effectiveness to nebulizer. Chest 103 (1993), pp. 665–672.
- [40] M Woodhead, Guidelines on the management of asthma. Thorax 48 (1993), pp. S1–S24 (Suppl).

- [41] Ikeda, Y., Beroggi, G.E.G. and Wallace, W.A., 1998. Supporting multi-group emergency management with multimedia. Safety Science 30, pp. 223–234.
- [42] Belardo, S. and Wallace, W.A., 1987. Expert system technology to support emergency response: its prospects and limitations. In: Gow, H.B.F. and Kay, R.W., Editors, 1987. Emergency Planning for Industrial Hazards, Elsevier.
- [43] Dovers, 1998 S. Dovers, Community involvement in environmental management: thoughts for emergency management, Australian Journal of Emergency Management 13 (1998) (2), pp. 6–10.
- [44] Hocke and O'Brien, 2003 I. Hocke and A. O'Brien, Strengthening the capacity of remote indigenous communities through emergency management, Australian Journal of Emergency Management 18 (2003) (2), pp. 62– 70.
- [45] Howitt, 2001 R. Howitt, Rethinking Resource Management: Justice, Sustainability and Indigenous Peoples, Routledge, London (2001).

Kaiji Liao is currently working for Enterprise Informatization and Knowledge Management Center in South China University of Technology. He has been doing research on Informatization and Knowledge Management for many years. So forth, he has authored or coauthored over 70 papers, book chapters, , and reports in those areas.

Huihui Xiong is a postgraduate directed by Liao. So forth, he has authored or coauthored several high quality papers in some famous journals.