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Abstract— In e-learning, video is widely used in many course domains. We address the problem of the lecture recording and the organization of visual information through user’s interaction at different steps. Our work focuses on the following three important areas: (1) synchronization method of the material contents and (2) interactions between material and users (3) construction of adaptive presentation methods in solving different knowledge levels.

This paper proposes a story-based editing and browsing system with the automatic video segmentation. We also point out that a video classification technology can be further integrated to enhance the tool by using visual and audio information. In addition to the semantic segmentation, an instructional video can be edited with an instructor’s story. The story-based editing is similar to hypervideo. Hypervideo is used as a hyperlink in a web. An instructor can constructs an instructional material by hypervideo links.

For delivering pre-recorded lectures, we start our discussion on a multimedia presentation recording system which we had developed.

Index Terms— video segmentation, story-based, e-learning, video presentation, video authoring

I. INTRODUCTION

Video processing has been an important and challenging issue. It has simplified the editing process to automatically create high semantic video data. Usually, the video is viewed as a video document and cut into several units. The two different video segmentation approaches are used:

- Shot-based segmentation: it identifies a transition in content between two frames, and uses a key-frame to represent a video shot [1, 2, 3].
- Object-based segmentation: a frame is divided into objects and background according to the temporal relations and spatial relations. [4, 5, 6].

In many existing researches, it is desirable to identify syntactic and semantic components by using the differences of video contents, for example, sports and news [5, 6, 7, 8]. Ideally, the video will be automatically annotated as a result of machine interpretation of the semantic content of the video. Although the visual content is a major source in a video program, an effective strategy in video-content analysis is to use extractable attributes from multimedia materials.

Many video applications are also proposed in e-learning. They aim at providing a user-experience and user-friendly by adapting the content, re-using videos. A virtual video editing system indicates how principles and techniques of user-controlled video editing have been integrated into four multimedia environments [9]. Many works address the challenge of extracting structure in educational and training media based on the type of material [10, 11, 12]. Multimedia presentation systems were widely developed for many purposes, including the delivery of distance learning lectures. Some video-based applications are used in language learning environment and focus on the interactivity between teacher and student. Yoshiaki Hada [13] used XML to extend traditional videoconference system.

This paper proposes and evaluates a complete and novel lecture video processing framework that includes the segmentation function and recording function in real-time. In our method, it is possible to extract information rapidly. The complex function is not needed in our algorithm to reach good performance. Finally, an instructional video can be editing by storyboard, and playback.
II. VIDEO PROCESSING FRAMEWORK

Lecture presentation systems are used by instructors to enable classrooms created modern environments. The increasing amount of devices that are used within such environments encouraged the joint presentation of different materials prepared in advance or developed during the lecture.

In e-learning, a video application is developed as the lecture presentation system, and the commercial products are found [15, 16, 17]. The system included e-learning standard- SCORM in [17]. Figure 2(a) shows a common template with the three media streams. The synchronization issue is needed to be solved. Advances in this system other annotation messages will be designed as in figure 2(b) [18]. These systems are web-based and apply a video stream, Microsoft PowerPoint slides, and video annotations. The Synchronized Multimedia Integration Language (SMIL) provides the synchronization and interaction mechanism.

In e-learning, the existing researches provide many good solutions in technique issues. We found that the video material be handled to be suited to use in three steps if we want to take the advantages of the video in e-learning as shown in figure 3.

- **Recording**: A lecture material is recorded in general course environment by capturing device. Or using other recording device finds the voice, text document and so on.
- **Authoring**: An editor post-product the lecture video by the proposed story board.
- **Playback**: For an end user, a simple tool is a good tool like a TV. Indirectly playback the content without terms is perfect.

III. INSTRUCTOR-BASED VIDEO RECORDING

Here we will focus on video processing issue how to provide more powerful and meaningful learning material.

There are two major components in our system: Video Recording and Video Segmentation. In the video recording phase, we focus on the issues of the synchronization and the real-time encoding. In the segmentation phase, by using visual and audio information, we propose a segmentation method.

A. Instructor’s Interactions Recording

It is very convenient to use a device to record a learning content to deliver by network. But, deeply thinking about that the interaction between the instructor and the learner is not enough. Where is the interaction?

The recording system has three different multimedia streams: Screen stream, CCD capturing video stream and audio stream. It is a usual course environment with a projector and a whiteboard.

As shown in figure 4, there are two input messages that can be inserted. The interaction mark is an import message that represents which should be paid attention in a video. Additionally, if the presentation slides are used, the title and content of a slide can be used to index a video.

Our solution is to combine video signal with screen output in real-time, and to record the combined signal with a compression method. The advantage of our approach enables computer output (user interactions) to be embedded in a video, with its clearness guaranteed.
For interactive using, we provide four recording modes for the instructor as follows (in figure 5):

- **Normal mode**: This mode is default when you initial the system with setup functions.
- **Reduce Screen mode**: You can reduce screen size in this mode.
- **Full Actor mode**: In some cases, it’s necessary to keep the original pictures. For example, we use zoon-in or zoon-out to capture a special scene.
- **Full Screen mode**: We provide full screen mode to record the screen like the screen recorder software.

In addition, these modes can be changed in real-time. We consider that the speaker has personal presentation style which he wants to show. So the user can change the recording mode to point out the focus to students.
B. Teacher-Oriented Segmentation

In a classroom, a teacher controls the progress of a course. We find that there are three major roles in a classroom. We can classify a classroom scene as following three types (in figure 6):

- **A teacher and the blackboard**: the teacher is writing something on the blackboard at this time. Or the teacher is explaining something.
- **A teacher and students**: the teacher is talking with students.
- **Students and students**: this scene shows that the time is not course time or not a teaching time.

And then the audio information will be used as an important component of our segmentation method. Generally speaking, the features of voice can be divided into two types: frame-level features and clip-level features [19, 20]. The definition of a frame is a group of the adjacent samples. A clip is a composition by frame. Usually clip-level characteristics indicate that these frame-level features.

A scene of the classroom, not a general case, we focus on that the relations between the voices of the course role and background noise.

- **A teacher and the blackboard**: the voice is unique and continuous. The background noise is a few. The voice comes from the instructor.
- **A teacher and students**: the voice is distinct and alternating, and the background noise is a few. The voice is not continuous because of the talk between the instructor and the student.
- **Students and students**: the voices are irregular. The voices almost become background noises.

The prototype system uses four modes (as shown in figure 7). Each mode has a different screen-video layout combination. The default video size is 1024 by 768. The selection of screen size is set by an interactive interface, which allows a user to set the position and the size of screen and video. These are off-the-shelf standard components installed on a Windowing system. The selections available depend on individual setup of a PC.

![Figure 6. Roles of a Classroom](image)

Figure 6. Roles of a Classroom

![Figure 7. Interactive Recording Modes](image)

Figure 7. Interactive Recording Modes (a. Normal mode. b. Reduce screen c. Full screen d. Full actor)

IV. STORY-BASED AUTHORING

The concept of hypervideo is extended into Detail-on-Demand hypervideo by including the function of the video summarization [21]. Hypervideo is a new video processing technique similar to hypertext. This approach differs from the current system and it is a necessary in a semantic viewpoint.

For the proposed authoring tool, the following terms need to be described again:

- **Object**: An object is defined by users by using the editor to highlight. An object can be viewed as an anchor.
- **Node**: We use the node to construct our tree in Figure 8. A node could be a video clip, an image, text or a web page.
- **Scene**: It differs from the term in the tradition video processing tool. The duration of the scene is determined by an editor user. Actually, we do not focus on how to detect a scene completely and exactly. A scene is a unit while we would like to weave the different video story script.
- **HyperLink**: Link structure describes the connection between major video material and other media.

When we talk about hypertext, multimedia, and hypermedia, there is a relation between. The nonlinear
information link is a major property of hypermedia and hypertext and gives the media viewer an opportunity to decide his/her reading path.

One of the issues is that a user can insert any kind of material into a video if it is necessary for him/her. In Figure 8, we take a video clip B as an example for constructing a hypervideo tree. Clip B includes additional information for two video clips—a resource R1 and a hyperlink L1. Video M includes two materials and a hyperlink. One of these materials can be used to link to another video that is placed at higher level. To limit the complexity of the navigating tree, all auxiliary materials of the auxiliary media will be disable. That is, for example, if we trigger Clip M to explain Clip B, we can not see the other auxiliary materials R1 and Video3 to explain M. For some reasons, we skip some video clips to view Clip M immediately by using a hyperlink L1 of Clip B.

![Figure 8. An example of Hypervideo tree](image)

**A. Spatial and Temporal Rules**

**a. Temporal Rules**

In such a case, when we watch a scene, how long the presentation of an object will attract the eyes of a human? The major problem is that a not-long-enough period cannot attract the audience’s attention and its function.

- **Rule1**: the duration of a defined object should be more than a threshold.

**b. Spatial Rules**

In designing such a small screen size of 320x240 or 640x480, we found restrictions that come from the audience and users in our experience as following:

1. The size of the marked area that a user uses to make hyperlink should be limited to a proper size.
2. Two objects can not be close to each other in a frame.

Here we define the rules to solve the spatial problem:

- **Rule2**: the size of the marking area should be large than a minimum area.

**c. Spatial and Temporal Rules**

Now we consider a case that there are two marked areas in Frame k and the two areas are not overlapped; in frame k+n, the area size is more than a threshold.

Combining the above-mentioned rules, we get spatial-temporal rules for HyperVideo Authoring:

- **Rule4**: the early marked object is the foreground object if the two objects cross over each other.

**d. Story Board Authoring**

Figure 9 shows the user interface of the video authoring. The details are described in the following.

- **Common File Format**: In our system, the format of the annotated video is not restricted.
- **Interactive Story**: Like a DVD movie, you can have a seamless presentation. In a DVD format disk, the audience has some selection buttons on a menu image when a DVD movie is playing.
- **Object annotation**: The user just loads a video file and plays it in this area like using a video player. Then using the “mark in” and “mark out” buttons to log the duration that annotated object will present.
- **Constructing the story**: We provide a video story board for the user to construct and browse the hierarchy of video. When a user wants to make a new story tree, he can pick a piece of video or cut one from the original file.

How can the user connect these pieces? The branch point shall be defined in those pieces, and the user shall determine the duration that includes a region or an object to be a “jump point”. Our story board is not simply a collection of one frame, because it is unreasonable to use a frame as a branch point. It is impossible that an audience can catch a frame and select it while a video is playing.

V. VIDEO PLAYING AND PRESENTATION

**A. Viewer-based Presentation Player**

As shown in Figure 10, in the proposed system, the key issue is how the annotation data can be played into video sequence exactly. We design a hypervideo presentation engine in a hypervideo player. The presentation engine comprises three components:

- **Navigation manager**: The major component of presentation engine is the navigation manager that lets user browse the video sequence and receives the user input (mouse click for video link). And this component also can control the process of the video decode while the user forward or backward the video.
Video decoder: This component is responsible for decoding video like a MPEG decoder.

Video Render: We use this component to render a video that comes from the output of the navigation manager and the video decoder. So if one of the inputs is interrupted, the video render will output the general video sequence without hypervideo functions.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS

A few issues that we need to solve before the system can be used on the industrial market. The synthesized video lecture has a disadvantage. We are working on a fast object tracking technology, to separate the foreground from the background. As such, the potion of discussion persons in the video can be synthesized to the video. Such a system will be more realistic.

This paper proposes novel techniques for video recording, hypervideo authoring and interactive video playback multimedia knowledge including techniques for discovering perceptual and semantic knowledge for e-learning. The interaction can be discovered when video be recorded, edited, and playback.

For material creation, we reveal a common problem and propose a solution. A recording model is also given to enhance instructors’ interactions into the material. An instructor can be an actor to show the different actions in the recorded video.
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