Abstract: Radio Resource Management (RRM) is a system level control of co-channel interference and other radio transmission characteristics in Long Term Evolution (LTE) systems. Testing and Test Control Notation (TTCN-3) is an international standardized abstract programming language for test development. Its abstraction, scalability and standardization of interfaces make TTCN-3 the best choice for implementing the RRM test suite. As an important entity in testing systems, the codec encodes TTCN-3 values into a bitstring according to the encoding rule, and decodes a bitstring according to decoding hypothesis. There are 13 kinds of message type definitions and seven encoding rules in the RRM conformance testing system, so the developers should implement multiple codecs according to different encoding rules. This paper mainly focuses on the common programming method for the codecs required by the RRM test suite. First, the significance of codecs in TTCN-3 testing systems is explained, then the TTCN-3 Control Interface is introduced, and finally the implementation of codecs for specific type definitions in the RRM conformance testing system is shown.
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1. Introduction

Radio Resource Management is a system level control of co-channel interference and other radio transmission characteristics in LTE wireless communication systems. It ensures the efficient use of the available radio resources and provides mechanisms that enable LTE air interface E-UTRAN to meet the related radio resource requirements [1].

TTCN-3 is an international standardized abstract programming language for test development, which is maintained by the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI). Its abstraction, scalability and standardization of interfaces make TTCN-3 the best choice for RRM conformance test [2].

During the development of a TTCN-3 testing system, some developers implement a single common codec for all the message types with the same encoding rule. This simple solution is accepted in some small-scale testing systems which do not strictly limit the encoding rules. However, as shown in section three, there are 13 kinds of message type definitions and seven encoding rules in the RRM conformance testing system. For example, the encoding rule named “SACCHSysInfoSparePadding Rule4” is required to limit the length of messages, and the padding bits are given, but the rule “Tabular notated” does not have such requirements. So a single common codec no longer sufficient. In fact, although these encoding rules correspond to different codecs, the implementation of these codecs still has some similarities, such as message field
This paper is mainly describing the implementation of codecs in the RRM conformance testing system. First, in section two, the structure of a test system is introduced, then an analysis of the TTCN-3 Control Interface for Codec (TCI-CD) is given. Section three explains the structure, the IDE and encoding rules of RRM conformance testing system. Finally in section four, the core issue of this paper, a common method for achieving the codecs is proposed. By using this method the codec named “SACCHSysInfoSparePadding Rule4” has been completed successfully, and its feasibility is proved by experimentation. Note that this paper only discusses the codecs for TTCN-3 types. The codecs for ASN.1 types are out of scope of this study.

2. Codec and TCI-CD Interface

To illustrate the role and significance of the codec, this section first introduces the general architecture of a TTCN-3 test system, then an explanation about TTCN-3 Control Interface (TCI) is given to make a further discussion on the codec.

2.1. Codec in TTCN-3 Testing System

Fig. 1 shows the general architecture of a TTCN-3 test system. All the entities cooperate with each other to implement the communication with System Under Test (SUT). The Test Management (TM) is responsible for the management of whole testing system. The test log is generated and maintained by the Test Logging (TL) [3]. The Component Handling (CH) is responsible for the management of components, especially in parallel test system. These four entities communicate with the Test Executable (TE) through the Test Control Interface (TCI), and TE finishes the execution of test suites described by TTCN-3 [3]. TTCN-3 data types are converted to bitstring and vice versa by the codec. To provide the communication between TE and SUT, The SUT Adapter (SA) is designed. The Platform Adapter (PA), which implements TTCN-3 external functions and provides a TTCN-3 test system with a single notion of time, communicates with TE through the TTCN-3 Runtime Interface (TRI) as well as the SA [4].
at this time by the SA, it is immediately sent to the TE by triEnqueueMessage operation. TE then delivers it to the codec again for decoding, and the decoding result is returned back to the TE. At last TE stops the timer and unmaps the dynamic connection to end the procedure.

![Fig. 2. Procedure of data sending and receiving.](image)

From the above two figures, we have a preliminary understanding on the test system and codec. Because the abstract TTCN-3 values cannot be sent to the SUT directly, a codec implementation is needed to encode TTCN-3 values into a bitstring according to the encoding rule, and decode a bitstring according to decoding hypothesis [5]. During encoding, a TTCN-3 value has to be inspected and dissected to generate a series of binary value mandated by the encoding scheme. During decoding, a new TTCN-3 value has to be created according to the binary values received from the SUT and the receiving templates defined by TTCN-3 [6].

### 2.2. TCI Data

As previously mentioned, the TCI defines the interaction among the CH, the TM, the codec, the TL and the TE. In order to provide access to the codec for TE, the TCI defines a set of abstract data types which are used to determine

a) how TTCN-3 data is passed from a TE to the codec for encoding a TTCN-3 value to a bitstring, and

b) how data from the codec to the TE shall be decoded from a bitstring to a TTCN-3 value. [6]

In order to process the data for these abstract data types, a set of operations are defined by the codec. And functionality of each data type is defined by an associated set of operations and methods. Operations on these abstract data types return either a value of the same type or a basic type, such as integer.

An abstract TTCN-3 type and value representation includes an abstract data Type that represents all types in a module and the values of a given type. In fact, the testing system uses Type and its values for accessing, evaluating, and encoding or decoding data.

For the Type, a set of operations are defined to reference predefined and user-defined TTCN-3 data types, and these operations also create and maintain TTCN-3 values. For example, the getName returns the name of the type defined in the TE and the getTypeEncoding returns the type encoding attribute defined in the TTCN-3 module. For the TTCN-3 values, they are represented at the TCI via numerous abstract data types.

Fig. 3 shows the hierarchy between the abstract data types for TTCN-3 values. All the abstract values, such as IntegerValue, HexstringValue and RecordValue, share the same base abstract data type Value.
operations defined on this common base data type are implicitly defined also for the abstract value types derived from it. For example, the `getType` operation returns the type of the specified value which defined in the Value, and the `BitstringValue` type also shares this operation. In addition, `BitstringValue` has its own operations such as `getString` which returns the textual representation of it.

![Graph of abstract data types](image)

**Fig. 3. Hierarchy between abstract data types [6].**

2.3. **TCI-CD Interface**

In this part, we explain the relationship between the codec and TCI-CD Interface. We will see all what needs to be done is to implement encode and decode operations based on encoding rules.

The TCI-CD describes two parts: the operations that a TE is required to implement, and codec implementation that shall provide to the TE. The above operations are used to access codecs for encoding data to be sent, and decoding received data [6].

TCI-CD is divided into two types of interface: TCI-CD required and TCI-CD provided. The former specifies the operations that the CD requires from the TE, and the latter specifies the operations that the TM shall provide to the TE.

To be precise, TCI-CD provided includes the encode and decode operation. The `encode` shall be called whenever the TE has to encode a Value, and the `decode` whenever the TE has to decode an encoded value. In other words, the `encode` and `decode` operations are respectively the entrance of encoding and decoding process. And TCI-CD required includes a series of operations for codec to use, such as `getBitstring` which constructs and returns a basic TTCN-3 bitstring type.

For the construction of the encoded data, which is returned by the encode operation, the TCI only specifies the concrete value structure. However, the operations for the construction of such values are not specified by the TCI. Therefore the codec must implement such operations itself.

So the implementation process of codec is to use Type and Value interfaces and TCI-CD required to implement TCI-CD provided, i.e. `encode` and `decode` operations.

3. **LTE RRM Conformance Testing**

3.1. **Structure of the Testing System**

On the basis of the general architecture of a TTCN-3 test system, the structure of RRM conformance testing system is shown in Fig. 4. Host-PC includes the test control, TTCN-3 test suite and associated codecs. The System Simulator (SS) provides the simulation of eNBs and achieves the adaption to the SUT. In this system, the User Equipment (UE, i.e. LTE mobile device) acts as the SUT [7][8]. Host-PC connects the SS...
through internal system interface, and the SS connects UE through LTE air interface.

![Fig. 4. Structure of RRM conformance testing system.](image)

The part of Host-PC shown in Fig. 4 is what the developer of test suites should mainly focus on. The purpose of the test development is to implement the entities in the Host-PC and complete the interaction between them.

### 3.2. Brief Introduction to IDE

A suitable IDE is an important guarantee for such a complex task. Here we choose TT workbench 15 as the IDE of the whole project, which is launched by Testing Tech from Germany. TT workbench is under Eclipse framework and supported by Java virtual machine. Some entities, together with their interaction has been finished by inside codes such as CH, TL and SA. Therefore the developers just need to focus on TE and codecs. In addition, it supports ASN.1 and provides codecs for ASN.1 by plugins, so this paper only discusses the codecs for TTCN-3 types.

There are several codecs in TT workbench, including a general codec which translate all the TTCN-3 data into bitstring in a generic way. But these codecs do not apply to RRM conformance testing system, because they do not comply with the encoding rules mentioned in section three, part C. However, TT workbench provides a certain convenience for the codec development, including reliability of data transmit between entities and exclusive operations for data. These features simplify high level development.

### 3.3. Requirements for Codecs

As shown in Table 1, there are 13 kinds of type definitions in the LTE RRM test suites, and each type definition has multiple message types. For the first two type definitions, the special ASN.1 encoding rules BER and PER are respectively needed, which are out of scope of this paper. The next six type definitions share the same encoding rule named Tabular notated, which concatenates all the present fields in the TTCN-3 template. For the last type definition in Table 1, there is no specific encoding rule because the messages defined by these types do not need to be sent out of TE. Other type definitions have their own specific rules.

For example, type definition SACCHSysInfo Spare Padding includes 3 record types, and they need a special encoding rule named SACCHSysInfoSparePadding. It can be simplified as 3 points:

a) Concatenate all the present fields in the TTCN-3 template.

b) The encoded message has a maximum of 19 octets.

c) If the encoded message is shorter than 19 octets, it should be filled with “spare padding” - a repetition of octet ‘00101011’.

This rule can be found in [9], clause 6.10.2.9.5. In addition to the difference among message types, all the rules for TTCN-3 types listed in TABLE 1 have strong similarity. They just differ from each other on the length limit and requirements of specific fields. All these rules are explained in [9].

How to implement these codecs is the key to the testing system. In next section, we will be involved in...
4. The Implementation of Codec

In general, the implementation of codecs can be summarized as follows: first the encode operation finishes choosing the encoding method based on the message structure, then the specific encoding method is called to deal with its corresponding message structure, finally it returns the encoded bitstrings back to the encode operation, and the encode operation returns the bitstrings to TE. Decoding is a similar procedure [10].

As mentioned in section two, the implementation process of codec is to implement encode and decode operations. In fact, a codec often deals with multiple message structures. Different encoding and decoding methods are programmed for corresponding message structures. Therefore the encode operation not only returns the encoded data, but also finishes the selection among multiple encoding methods according to the message structure. Similarly, the decode operation not only attempts to construct a TTCN-3 value, but also finishes the selection among multiple decoding methods according to the expected message structure [11].

Fig. 5 summarizes the architecture of the codec programming. To achieve good encapsulation, we put encode operation on high level of codec programming and encoding methods on low level. When the encode operation is called by TE, it starts to choose and call the specific encoding method, and finally return the

---

Table 1. Encoding Rules for Different Type Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type definitions</th>
<th>Encoding rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASN.1 types used for RRC signalling</td>
<td>ASN.1 PER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASN.1 types used by NAS protocols</td>
<td>ASN.1 BER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS types</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMS types</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB types</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHCPv4 types</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICMPv6 types</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERAN types</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPRS Padding</td>
<td>GPRSPadding Rule1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSM Spare Padding</td>
<td>GSM Spare Padding Rule2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LowHigh Rule</td>
<td>LowHighRule3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACCHSysInfo Spare Padding</td>
<td>SACCHSysInfoSparePadding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTCN-3 types not used at the air interface:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Configuration of system simulator</td>
<td>No specific encoding required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Coordination between components</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Types used internally in TTCN-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Architecture of the codec programming.
encoded bitstring. Considering that different message structure may have the same field types, the developers can program subfunctions to encode different fields independently. For the integrity of the elaboration, this part is incorporated into the encoding method. It is the same in decoding procedure.

Before implementing the codecs, the programming language should be chosen. TTworkbench uses Java virtual machine to support TTCN-3 projects, so here the codecs are generated by Java code. A developer may choose ANSI C, C++, C# or XML as the language of codecs based on the requirement of different IDE, but the programming procedure is similar [12]. The detailed steps are introduced in next four parts.

4.1. Encode Operations

As the entrance of the entire encoding procedure, the encode operation not only returns the encoded data, but also finishes the selection among multiple encoding methods according to the message structure. Table 2 shows the feature of encode operation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>TriMessage encode( in Value value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In parameters</td>
<td>value (The value to be encoded)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return Value</td>
<td>An encoded TriMessage for the specified encoding rule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constraint</td>
<td>This operation shall be called whenever the TE has to encode a Value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect</td>
<td>Returns an encoded TriMessage according to the encoding rules.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To achieve the first requirement, the encode operation returns an encoded TriMessage according to the encoding rule by using return statement.

To achieve the second requirement, we can use if statements. The type name will be obtained and checked to find the appropriate encoding methods. Using Value.getType() to return the type of the Value, and Type.getName() to get the name of the type defined in the TTCN-3 module, then compare it with the expected type name.

Considering the example used in section three, in "SACCHSysInfoSparePadding Rule4", 3 different record types are supported. They are:

- Systeminformationtype5
- Systeminformationtype5bis
- Systeminformationtype6

Each type has a specific encoding method. Here is the Java code:

```java
public TriMessage encode(Value template) {
    try {
        if(template.getType().getName().equals("SYSTEMINFORMATIONTYPE5"))
            return encodeSysInfo5((RecordValue) template);
        else
            if(template.getType().getName().equals("SYSTEMINFORMATIONTYPE5bis"))
                return encodeSysInfo5bis((RecordValue) template);
            else
                if(template.getType().getName().equals("SYSTEMINFORMATIONTYPE6"))
                    return encodeSysInfo6((RecordValue) template);
                else
                    return null;
    } catch (IOException e) {
        e.printStackTrace();
    }
    return null;
}
```
After entering the \emph{encode} operation, the specific encoding method is determined.

\section*{4.2. Encoding Method}

If it is a simple value without any hierarchies or branch structures, we just need to turn it into a bitstring. But if the value has structured types, such as record or set, we need to get every field, turn them into bitstrings one by one, and finally concatenate all the bitstrings.

The steps of encoding methods can be summarized as follows:

\begin{itemize}
  \item Define \texttt{ByteArrayOutputStream out} to receive the encoding result. \texttt{ByteArrayOutputStream} type is used to cache data. Its buffer is automatically increased, and the data can be extracted when finishing writing. So we choose it to cache the encoded bits.
  \item Use \texttt{getField(fieldName)} operation to get the data in the field \texttt{fieldName}. This operation belongs to TCI \texttt{Value} interface.
  \item Convert the data to bytes. For different data types, the operations vary from each other. For example, for the string type, a \texttt{getByte()} operation is available. Or for the bitstring type, the bits need to be ordered in eight per group. Then the data is written into \texttt{out} by \texttt{write} operation.
  \item \textbf{(for the optional field and variable field length)} Use one bit as the flag if the field is optional. When the flag equals zero and one indicates that the field is omitted and has an exact value respectively. Write the flag into \texttt{out} in front of the field content.
  \item \textbf{(for the variable field length)} Similarly, we can write the length of the field between the flag and the field content. Here multiple consequent bits may be used. The number of bits depends on the length, and it cannot be changed once determined. Fig. 6 indicates the encoding field structure.
  \item \textbf{(for the length limit of message)} If the length of the encoded message does not reach the limit, fill the blank with a series of padding bits, otherwise generate warning information if the length exceeds.
\end{itemize}

Keep in mind that the smallest unit in Java stream is byte (1 byte=8 bits), so if the present bits are less than eight, it must waits for subsequent bits to reach 1 byte, then use \texttt{out.write()} operation to write them into \texttt{out}.

After all the fields are encoded, the out is converted into a byte array. Here we use \texttt{toByteArray()} operation. Then the byte array is converted into \texttt{TriMessage} and returned to \texttt{encode} operation by using \texttt{TriMessageImpl} operation. Note that this operation is not required in TCI standard. It is just supported by TTworkbench. Encoding process is then finished. Fig. 7 indicates the correspondence between TTCN-3 value and its encoded bitstring. The flowchart of whole encoding procedure is shown in Fig. 8.
4.3. Decode Operation

Similarly, as another entrance of the entire decoding procedure, the decode operation not only attempts to construct a TTCN-3 value based on the expected message type and the encoded data, but also finishes the selection among multiple encoding methods according to the message structure. Table 3 shows the feature of encode operation.

To fulfill the first requirement, the decode operation should return a decoded Value according to the encoding rules by using return statement.

To achieve the second requirement, we still use if statements. The type name of decodingHypothesis will be obtained and checked to find the appropriate encoding methods. Using decodingHypothesis.getName() to get the name of the type, then compare it with the expected type name.

Still in “SACCHSysInfoSparePadding Rule4”, like encode operation, 3 different record types are supported and each type has a specific decoding method. Here is the Java code:

```java
public Value decode(TriMessage message, Type decodingHypothesis) {
    try {
        if(decodingHypothesis.getName().equals("SYSTEMINFORMATIONTYPE5")) {
            decodeSysInfo5(message, decodingHypothesis);
            return result;
        }
    } catch (Exception e) {
        // handle exception
    }
}
```

![Flowchart of encoding procedure.](image)

Fig. 8. Flowchart of encoding procedure.
else if (decodingHypothesis.getName().equals("SYSTEMINFORMATIONTYPE5bis")) {
    decodeSysInfo5bis(message, decodingHypothesis);
    return result;
} else if (decodingHypothesis.getName().equals("SYSTEMINFORMATIONTYPE6")) {
    decodeSysInfo6(message, decodingHypothesis);
    return result;
} else {
    return null;
}

} catch (IOException e) {
    e.printStackTrace();
}

After entering the decode operation, the specific decoding method is determined.

Table 3. The Feature of Decode Operation [6]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Value decode(in TriMessageType message, in Type decodingHypothesis)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In parameters</td>
<td>message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>decodingHypothesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return Value</td>
<td>If the decoded value is of a compatible type as the decodingHypothesis, return the value. Else return null.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constraint</td>
<td>This operation shall be called whenever the TE has to decode an encoded value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect</td>
<td>Decodes message according to the encoding rules and returns a TTCN-3 value.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4. Decoding Method

Corresponds with the encoding method, if it is a simple decodingHypothesis without any hierarchy or branch structure, we just turn the bitstring into TTCN-3 value. If the hypothesis has structured types, we should set all the fields based on the decodingHypothesis, then fill them with decoded message. The detailed procedure can be summarized as follows:

- Load the encoding data as a byte array from TRI, and create a new instance named result from the decodingHypothesis by using newInstance() operation. For example, if the expected type is record, the statement is as follow:

  ```java
  RecordValue result = (RecordValue)decodingHypothesis.newInstance();
  ```

- Create a new instance fieldInstance of the first field based on the decodingHypothesis. Read a certain length of bits from the encoding message, set them into fieldInstance and return it as a decoding field.

- **(for the optional field and variable field length)** Read one bit as the flag before decoding the content. The flag equals 0 or 1 indicates that the field is omitted or has an exact value.

- **(for the variable field length)** Similarly, if the field length is written before the field content, we should read the length bits to get the field length. The number of length bits are determined during encoding.

- Use setField() operation to set the field fieldName of the result based on fieldInstance. This operation belongs to TCI Value interface.
Note that there is no specific step for decoding messages which have length limit. Because the message length has been fixed by encoding procedure. If the padding bits exist, we just ensure that all the fields are decoded correctly, then the remaining padding bits are discarded.
Repeat this procedure until all the fields in the result are set and then return the result to decode operation, which ends decoding process. Fig. 9 indicates the decoding result from an encoded bitstring. The flowchart of whole decoding procedure is shown in Fig. 10.

4.5. Validation Experiments

By using the above methods, the codec “SACCHSysInfoSparePadding Rule4” has been implemented successfully. To validate its feasibility and correctness, the developers design a loopback test system: the encoded message is sent to the computer itself, then the system decodes it and compare to the original message. By this way the system can tests encoding and decoding at one time.

The experimental results show that the encoding and decoding accuracy is 100%, and it works perfectly. Then the codec is added to RRM conformance testing system to complete corresponding function, and the encoding results match the standard requirements.

5. Conclusion

RRM ensures the efficient use of the available radio resources and also provides mechanisms that enable LTE air interface E-UTRAN to meet radio resource related requirements. According to the complexity of the LTE system, the TTCN-3 code for RRM conformance test describes thousands of message types and multi-level data structures which need various specific encoding rules.

This paper first introduces the structure of the RRM conformance testing system, then analyses the relationship between TCI and codec. Finally it proposes a common method for the codecs required by the RRM conformance testing system. By using these methods the codec named “SACCHSysInfoSparePadding Rule4” has been completed successfully.

The feasibility of this codec has been proved by a series of experiments. Next we will gradually implement all the other codecs for RRM conformance test, to boost the completion of the whole RRM conformance test suite.
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